

EMCSF 20.10.4 v
EMARZ



Re: Question
Greg Weigel to: Kelly Wright
Cc: Kira Lynch, Carla Fisher, "Susan Hanson"

06/09/2010 09:08 AM

Kelly:

While Kira has her work at the site, Carla hers, and I have mine, we are trying hard to coordinate the three processes, but I understand why it is confusing and why you may be frustrated. Kira is overseeing the site-wide sampling/monitoring "snapshot" that we are expecting FMC to submit a draft sampling plan for by the end of this week. Review of this sampling plan will be coordinated with her technical support team, including you and Susan, Carla and me, and others. I am still overseeing the Pond 16S work, and will be overseeing the additional work required under the anticipated CERCLA removal order, and will continue to coordinate technical input with the team as I have done to date for Pond 16S. Kira's work, while related, is separate and includes areas of the site not specifically covered by the removal orders. Carla, of course, is working on revisions to the RCRA post-closure plans, starting with Pond 16S. The removal work that I oversee is justified to address an imminent and substantial threat from phosphine gas releases to ambient air, and is not anticipated to address phosphine releases to soil that don't pose an imminent human health risk. The site-wide sampling/monitoring effort that Kira is overseeing, including soil gas monitoring and soil gas to air flux monitoring will help guide the longer-term cleanup efforts that she is overseeing.

I'm sure that you have additional questions, and I think that this group should have a call to discuss, hopefully later today if you are available. Thanks for your attention to this.

Greg Weigel
Federal On-Scene Coordinator
US EPA Region 10
Idaho Operations Office
(208) 378-5773 office
(208) 867-3710 cell

"Kelly Wright" Greg, I got a call yesterday from Kira and she sa... 06/09/2010 08:11:30 AM

From: "Kelly Wright" <kwright@sbtribes.com>
To: Greg Weigel/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 06/09/2010 08:11 AM
Subject: Question

Greg, I got a call yesterday from Kira and she said that no additional sampling would be done at FMC, that we were only going to use their data. Tribes have a problem with this concept because their proposal is to only identify down to 16 or 18 inches in soil gas. Soil gas should address all components of the environment not just worker protection. She also said that we would not be able to get a real time monitor because of the cost and she has someone at the U of W that has a very sensitive FTIR and that would work adequately.

Please let me know why she is running your projects at FMC and making decision that the team use to make. I think personally that we made some major improvements with the current process at FMC so why the changes?

Thanks



Kelly