

EMAR2
EMCSF 20.10.4 VI



To: Barbara Ritchie <BARBARA.RITCHIE@fmc.com>,
Andrew Boyd/R10/USEPA/US, Charles Ordine/R10/USEPA/US, Greg
Cc: Weigel/R10/USEPA/US, Carla Fisher/R10/USEPA/US,
Bcc:
Subject: Re: need to talk about extension to / clarification of Request for Info

Responses to the issues we discussed yesterday are below.

1. For item number one can FMC focus on sending data from 2006 on since this previous info request already captures the data from 2003-2006. We understand that this simplifies things since the last pond was closed December 2005 and trying to retrieve data from log books from the Plant Closure and Decon contractor that FMC no longer has under contract is much more difficult.

We agree that FMC can focus on getting us the data post pond closure December 2005 to present. We understand that older data may require longer to retrieve and will be a lower priority than the more recent information.

2. Do we want all the pond 16S perimeter extraction system data that was collected prior to the UAO?

Yes but this can be a lower priority.

3. Do we need FMC to resubmit the fence line data that was already submitted in the Pond 16S weekly reports? Apparently the data was summarized and submitted but not the actual data log sheets.

We request that FMC provide log book entries and data for any detection of PH3 at fence line monitoring. Weekly reports have always said no detection, and FMC has told us that there has never been a detection at the fence line, so we do not need all of the log book entries that show non-detect.

Kira Lynch
US EPA Region 10
1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900
Office of Environmental Cleanup (ECL-113)
Seattle WA 98101
phone: 206-553-2144
cell: 206-850-4323
fax: 206-553-0124

Barbara Ritchie

Three attachments for your review...responses t...

05/11/2010 11:52:03 AM

From: Barbara Ritchie <BARBARA.RITCHIE@fmc.com>
To: Kira Lynch/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/11/2010 11:52 AM
Subject: need to talk about extension to / clarification of Request for Info

Three attachments for your review...responses to similar RFI received in 2006 – when we got 30 days to respond, and still needed an extension. The 'second part' is only the transmittal letter; the 600 pgs/40 MB attachment can be provided on disc/FTP if you wish.

What's a good time to talk?[attachment "2006-06-19 EPA RFI re practices at RCRA Ponds .pdf" deleted by Kira Lynch/R10/USEPA/US] [attachment "2006-07-24 FMC response to EPA RCRA Request for Information.pdf" deleted by Kira Lynch/R10/USEPA/US] [attachment "2006-08-31 FMC Response Second Part to EPA RFI dated June 19 2006.pdf" deleted by Kira Lynch/R10/USEPA/US]

USEPA SF



1349280